## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PATTI JO CAHOO, KRISTEN MENDYK, KHADIJA COLE, HYON PAK, and MICHELLE DAVISON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case Number 17-10657 Honorable David M. Lawson

SAS INSTITUTE INC., FAST ENTERPRISES LLC, CSG GOVERNMENT SOLUTIONS, STEPHEN GESKEY, SHEMIN BLUNDELL, DORIS MITCHELL, DEBRA SINGLETON, JULIE A. McMURTRY, and SHARON MOFFET-MASSEY,

D	ef	en	d	an	ts	

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA

This matter is before the Court on another motion filed by non-party Michigan Unemployment Insurance Agency (UIA) to limit discovery in this case, this time to quash the plaintiffs' subpoena requesting the production of documents from and deposition of the agency's director, Steve Gray. The Court reviewed the parties' submissions and heard oral argument on February 12, 2020. At the hearing, the Court announced from the bench its decision to grant in part and deny in part the UIA's motion.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the UIA's motion to quash the plaintiffs' subpoena (ECF No. 237) is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART** for the reasons stated on the record.

Case 2:17-cv-10657-DML-RSW ECF No. 249, PageID.5821 Filed 02/13/20 Page 2 of 2

It is further **ORDERED** that the subpoena is limited to documents in Gray's actual

possession, custody, and control, covering the period beginning in October, 2013 and continuing

only until he became the director of the UIA.

It is further **ORDERED** that the deposition of Gray may not extend beyond five hours.

It is further **ORDERED** that the subject matter of Gray's deposition is limited to his

activity relevant to the issues in this case before he became the director of the UIA.

It is further **ORDERED** that the plaintiffs may submit 25 written questions (including

subparts) concerning Gray's activity since becoming the director of the UIA, focusing on

information that has not been furnished by others.

It is further **ORDERED** that the plaintiffs must further limit the discovery requests in their

subpoena by (1) eliminating open ended requests for information; and (2) restricting the requests

to information concerning the disputes framed by the pleadings in this case.

It is further **ORDERED** that the motion is **DENIED** in all other respects.

s/David M. Lawson

DAVID M. LAWSON

United States District Judge

Date: February 13, 2020

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first-class U.S. mail on February 13, 2020.

s/Susan K. Pinkowski

SUSAN K. PINKOWSKI

- 2 -